

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_108334
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF WORKPLACE AGRESSION SCALE AMONG NON ACADEMIC STAFF OF EDUCATION, UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>It is significant for social sciences as the question of workplace aggression, particularly in academic environments is hardly studied. There is much less emphasis on non academic staff and their psychological well-being in work atmospheres.</p> <p>Suggested title - Linkages between Workplace Aggression and Organisational Environment : A Study of Non-academic Staff in the University of Ibadan</p> <p>Abstract is quite mixed up with research design, scale, SPSS and recommendation.</p> <p>Subsections and structuring are kind of ok.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Fine</p> <p>Analysis should be organised under specific headlines with specific parameters and not just by providing the summary of every question. Both the hypotheses are somewhat similar. Should follow appropriate referencing of authors names in the text.</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Done revision</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English needs to be improved before publication. While quoting authors the author names have been indicated in brackets. Should follow proper citation style.	ok
Optional/General comments	Good work. Can make it better. If possible some testimonials of the staff could be added for authenticity.	ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	